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Effect of dietary supplementation with soybean oil, sunflower oil or fish oil on the growth of seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L. 1758)
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SUMMARY - A 98 day feeding trial was carried out at the HATKO Anafartalar Fish Farm, Eceabat, (Çanakkale, Turkey), concerning the effect of dietary addition of soybean oil, sunflower oil, or fish oil on the growth of sea bass. Fish of initial mean weight 58.79 g, were housed within experimental net cages (25-50 fish / cage) and fed either a control commercial seabass ration, or the same ration supplemented with either 5% fish oil (Experimental group III), 5% soybean oil (Experimental group I), or 5% sunflower oil (Experimental group II). At the end of the feeding trial, the live weight gain of experimental fish was found to be 79.53 g, 85.70 g, 86.31 g and 93.93 g for fish fed the control diet, soybean oil, sunflower oil or fish oil supplemented diet, respectively. Food conversion ratio was found to be highest level in experimental group II (2.25) and the lowest level within experimental group III (2.03). However, on the basis of analyses of variance, there was no significant differences between treatments on the basis of live weight gain and food conversion ratio. Carcass analysis showed no real differences among experimental groups by the end of the 98 - day rearing period, other than a slightly elevated carcass lipid content and decreased carcass moisture content of fish fed the sunflower oil supplemented diet. On the basis of these results the use of 5% supplemental plant lipid over the use of fish oil had no adverse effect on the live weight gain, food conversion ratio, and viscerosomatic and hepatosomatic index fish. However, all experimental groups supplemented with dietary lipids had elevated carcass lipid levels compared with control fish fed the non - supplemented rations.
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RESUME - "Effet de la supplémentation du régime avec de l'huile de soja, de l'huile de tournesol ou de l'huile de poisson, sur la croissance du bar (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L. 1758)". Un essai d'alimentation sur 98 jours a été mené à la ferme piscicole de HATKO à Anafartalar, Eceabat (Çanakkale, Turquie), pour voir l'effet de l'addition dans le régime d'huile de soja, de tournesol et de poisson, sur la croissance du bar. Des poissons d'un poids moyen initial de 58,79 g ont été placés dans des cages expérimentales en maille (25-50 poissons / cage) et alimentés soit avec une ration commerciale pour bar comme témoin, ou cette même ration supplémentée par 5% d'huile de poisson (groupe expérimental III), 5% d'huile de soja (groupe expérimental I), ou 5% d'huile de tournesol (groupe expérimental II). A la fin de cet essai d'alimentation, le gain de poids vif des poissons sous expérience a été de 79,53 g, 85,70 g, 86,31 g et 93,93 g pour les poissons du régime témoin, et des régimes supplémentés avec de l'huile de soja, de tournesol et de poisson, respectivement. L'indice de conversion alimentaire présentait le niveau le plus élevé chez le groupe expérimental II (2,25) et le niveau le plus faible chez le groupe expérimental III (2,03). Cependant, sur la base des analyses de variance, il n'y avait pas de différences significatives entre les traitements en ce qui concerne le gain de poids vif et l'indice de conversion alimentaire. L'analyse de la carcasse a montré qu'il n'y avait pas de différences réelles entre les groupes expérimentaux à la fin de la période d'élevage de 98 jours, à part une teneur en lipides dans la carcasse légèrement plus élevée, et une teneur en humidité dans la carcasse un peu moindre chez les poissons du régime supplémenté avec de l'huile de tournesol. Au vu de ces résultats, l'utilisation de 5% de lipides supplémentaires d'origine végétale par rapport à l'utilisation d'huile de poisson, n'a pas d'effet adverse sur le gain de poids vif.
INTRODUCTION

Marine fish farming plays an important role in aquaculture; the increase in demand of aquatic products and difficulties in fishing encourage the development of marine fish farming. As in the other Mediterranean countries, sea bass and sea bream farming constitutes an important part of marine aquaculture in Turkey (Hossucu et al., 1991; Uçal and Benli, 1993).

Marine fish farming first developed in Turkey in 1987, and according to recent data sea bass production in Turkey has increased from 5 mt in 1987, 808 mt in 1992, to 500 mt in 1993. Although sea bass can grow from 2 g to 330 g (market size) in 18-20 months in Mediterranean countries such as Greece, Italy, Spain and Turkey, the growth period is longer in the Northern Aegean Sea due to lower water temperatures (Jones, 1988; FAO, 1993; DIE, 1993; and Chamberlain, 1993). At present valli culture systems are used by the HATKO corporation to produce sea bass and sea bream located near Çanakkale (Dardanelles). In the main sea bream and sea bass farming has been developed primarily on the Aegean coasts and in the Mediterranean and Marmara regions of Turkey (Atay, 1985; Çakir, 1993).

Feeds play an important role within intensive culture systems, and should contain all essential nutrients, including all the proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, vitamins, and minerals required the farmed by fish species. Of these nutrients, dietary lipids play an important role as a source of energy and essential fatty acids (EFA) which all required by fish for optimum growth. Lipids are also important carriers and play a role in the absorption of fat soluble vitamins. Moreover, phospholipids are lipids and play an essential role in cellular structure and for the maintenance of membrane flexibility and permeability. Studies on lipid metabolism have shown that fish lack the ability to synthesize linoleic acid (18: 2n-6) and linolenic acid (18: 3n-3) de novo, and that these fatty acids are dietary essential for fish. The EFA requirements of fish have been well studied, and one of the major differences in the EFA requirements between species appears to be between freshwater and marine fish; freshwater fish species generally requiring 18: 2n-6 or 18: 3n-3 or both, whereas marine fish require eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; 20:5n-3 ) and/or docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22: 6n-3) (NRC, 1983; Watanabe, 1988; Barnabe, 1990; Hardy and Toshiro, 1991; Kalogeropoulos et al, 1991; Di Bella et al, 1993; Tacon, 1993).

The effects of lipids and EFA on the growth of sea bass using different lipid sources and environmental conditions has been investigated by earlier researchers (Mohr, 1987; Boonyaratpalin, 1989; Kalogeropoulos et al, 1991; Di Bella et al, 1993). Fish oil is an excellent source of n-3 fatty acid series and oilseed oils such as soybean and sunflower are also rich in n-6 fatty acid series as well having but have n-3 fatty acid series at low concentrations levels (NRC, 1983; Pigot and Tucker,
1990). Water have also been shown to efect the beneficial effect of adding plant oils to fish feeds on fish performance has been shown by numerous authors (NRC, 1977; Lovell, 1988; Amerio et al, 1989; Lovell, 1989), and soybeans and sunflowers are widely cultivated in Turkey as a source of lipids for human consumption. The aim of the present investigation was therefore to determine the effect of dietary lipid additions, including soybean oil sunflower oil, or fish oil, on the growth performance and body composition of juvenile cage-reared sea bass. Due to decrease in production of fish meal has also reduced fish oil in recent years, and plant oils play important role in feed industry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design

The feeding trial was conducted over a 98 - day period from 18 May, 1995 to 26 August, 1995 at a private sea bass farm (HATKO company), located near the Dardanelles, Çanakkale.

Juvenile sea bass of 55-60 g mean initial weight were stocked into experimental cages, namely a control group and three experimental groups I, II and III. 25 Randomly chosen sea bass were assigned to the control group (housed within 1m x 1m x 1m cage), and 50 fish allotted to each experimental group (housed within 2m x 2m x 2 m cages). Prior to the start of the feeding trial, all experimental fish were acclimatized over a 10 day adaptation period.

Feeds and feeding trials

Fish within the control group were fed with PINAR sea bass feed (P 3; 3.2 mm ) containing 49% crude protein, 11% crude fat, 13.50% ash, 3% crude fibre, 13.50% NFE, and 3007 kcal/kg metabolizable energy (Table 1).

Table 1.  Proximate composition of the feed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrients</th>
<th>% on dry feed basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (N x 6.25)</td>
<td>49.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat (ether extraction)</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFE (1)</td>
<td>13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.E. (kcal/kg)(2)</td>
<td>3007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1): Nitrogen free extractives determined by differences 
(2): Metabolizable energy calculated using calorific values of 3.9 kcal/g for crude protein, 8.0 kcal/g for fat, and 1.6 kcal/g for carbohydrate.

Fish with the three experimental test groups were fed with the same commercial feed to which was added either 5% soybean oil (group I), 5 % sunflower oil (group II), or 5 % fish oil (group III), on a dry weight basis. All the lipids used was added to the pelleted feeds by spraying onto the outside of the pellets.
All fish were fed depending upon body size and water temperature at a fixed rate of 2% body weight per day for the first three 14 day growth periods, and then at 3% body weight for the remainder of the experiment; with fish being fed by hand twice daily. Water temperature have been measured between 16.4°C - 27.2°C over experimental period. (Di Bella et al. 1993; Alpbaz, 1990; Barnabe, 1990).

**Chemical analyses and growth performance determination**

The commercial and experimental feeds used were analyzed for dry matter, crude protein, crude fat, cellulose, ash, and NFE using the Weende analysis system on a percent dry matter basis (AOAC, 1984). The metabolizable energy content of the feeds was determined as reported by Halver (1972). Five fish were randomly selected from each group at the start, after 52 days and end of the experiment for proximate analysis of body constituents (i.e., water, crude protein, crude fat, ash) and liver lipid analysis. The total body weight of experimental fish was measured at 14-day intervals by anaesthetizing fish with quinaldine.

The live weight gain was calculated by difference, and food conversion ratio calculated by dividing the weight of feed consumed by the mean weight gain of fish (Ricker, 1979; Schreck and Moyle, 1990). Data on body weight gain and food conversion ratio were statistically analyzed using the analysis of variance (Kutsal and Muluk, 1975), and fish condition factor calculated using the formula; $K = 100 \times \frac{W}{L^3}$ where $W$ is the fish weight (g) and $L$ is “fork length” (cm). Finally, random fish samples were taken for the estimation of viscerosomatic index (VSI) and hepatosomatic index (HSI) as described by Ricker, (1979), and Schreck and Moyle, (1990).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The growth performance of fish fed the experimental diets is shown in the Table 2 and Figure 1. No fish mortality was observed over the rearing period within any of the experimental cages.

Table 2. Growth performance of fish over the 98 day feeding period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean initial body weight (g)</td>
<td>55.15</td>
<td>60.03</td>
<td>60.10</td>
<td>59.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean final body weight (g)</td>
<td>134.68</td>
<td>145.73</td>
<td>146.41</td>
<td>152.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (g)</td>
<td>79.53</td>
<td>85.70</td>
<td>86.31</td>
<td>93.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed consumption (g)</td>
<td>197.00</td>
<td>187.74</td>
<td>194.66</td>
<td>190.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food conversion ratio</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition factor</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1): $P \geq 0.05$
There was no significant difference between treatments on the basis of live weight gain and food conversion ratio. Despite this, fish fed the fish oil, supplemented diet (III) displayed the highest mean final body weight and lowest food conversion ratio over the 98-day rearing period. These results are consistent with those obtained by other, including, Amerio et al, (1989); Barnabe (1990), and Di Bella et al (1993). A similar food conversion who was reported by Uğal and Benli (1993) for sea bass on the Mediterranean coast of Turkey.

Unfortunately, due to difficulties encountered during the process of anesthetizing fish prior to weighing, fish weight and growth was negatively affected during the first three 14-day rearing periods. Table 3 shows the results of the body composition, viscerosomatic index, and hepatosomatic index of fish at the start, after 52 days and at the end of the 98-day feeding trial. No major trend emerged from the data presented other than a slightly evaluated carcass lipid and decreased moihrus catent of fish fed the sunflower oil supplemented diet (II).

Table 3. Body composition, viscerosomatic index (VSI), hepatosomatic index (HSI), and liver lipid content of fish over the feeding trial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Body composition (% in dressed out carcass)</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Crude protein</th>
<th>Crude fat</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>VSI</th>
<th>HSI</th>
<th>Crude fat (liver)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.02</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>32.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 52</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.85</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>12.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.31</td>
<td>20.65</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental group I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.02</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>32.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 52</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.58</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>17.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.30</td>
<td>20.02</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>25.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental group II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.02</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>32.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 52</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.95</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>15.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.86</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>14.09</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>25.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental group III</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.02</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>32.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 52</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.68</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>17.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.03</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>22.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Growth response of fish over the experimental test period.
In summary, the results show that there was no adverse effect of supplementary practical diets for seabass with plant oils as compared with fish oil; fish fed lipid supplemented rations display a better growth performance and feed utilization efficiency than fish fed unsupplemented rations.
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